

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/696,350		10/24/2000	Richard Heller	24394 2801		
21901	7590	03/12/2003				
SMITH &			EXAMINER			
15950 BAY SUITE 220			HAYES, MICHAEL J			
CLEARWATER, FL 33760		33760		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3763	<u> </u>	
				DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\ <u></u>	- ha			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	M			
		09/696,350	HELLER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Michael J Hayes	3763				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	ne correspondence add	iress			
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. IT SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed)) days will be considered timely, from the mailing date of this colonic (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 L	December 2002 .					
2a)□		is action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matter		e merits is			
Disposit	tion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-27 is/are withdray	vn from consideration.	·				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	•						
, —	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
· · _	tion Papers						
	The drawing(s) filed onin/gray av		Eveniner				
10)[]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)	Applicant may not request that any objection to th The proposed drawing correction filed on	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		ar			
' '/	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		pproved by the Examine	ii.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•					
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
,	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	, p	(-) (-) (-)				
/	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		ication No.				
* ;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	rity documents have been red reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ceived in this National S	Stage			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	·		application)			
	a) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional application has been	received.				
Attachmer	•	F a					
1)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(rmal Patent Application (PTC				

Application/Control Number: 09/696,350

Art Unit: 3763

Non-Responsive Reply

The reply filed on 12/20/02 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): Applicant has not selected a single species for examination. Applicant should select one species from the species listed below. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Applicant's election of the invention of Group I (i.e., claims 1-15) is acknowledged, but Applicant further needs to elect a single species from the claims 1-15.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species 1 drawn to Fig. 3, species 2 drawn to Fig. 4, species 3 drawn to Fig. 5, species 4 drawn to Fig. 6, and species 5 drawn to Fig. 7.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09/696,350

'n

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of Art Unit: 3763 claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the 1.143). inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Hayes at (703) 305-5873. The examiner can usually be reached Monday -Thursday, 7:00-4:30, and on alternate Fridays. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler, can be

Art Unit: 3763

contacted at (703) 308-3552. The fax number for submitting official papers is (703) 872-9302.

The fax number for submitting after final papers is (703) 872-9303.

mjh 7 March 2003

MICHAEL J. HAYES PRIMARY EXAMINER